



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,534	01/16/2001	Hiroshi Ishizuka	1081.1103 (JDH)	2120

21171 7590 03/18/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

GART, MATTHEW S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3625

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/759,534

Applicant(s)

ISHIZUKA ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew s Gart

Art Unit

3625

MW

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing-sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 16-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lynch U.S. Patent No. 5,708,798.

Referring to claim 1. Lynch discloses a commodity retrieval method for retrieving a desired commodity, comprising the steps of:

- Displaying items for a plurality of objects of use of commodities (Abstract, "To configure a system, the present invention accepts input in the form of requests or needs, such as an expression of a need for a desktop computer system to be used in a CAD environment.");
- Retrieving the commodities based on at least one object of use selected from the items (column 5, lines 42-50); and
- Displaying information on a commodity having specifications corresponding to the selected object of use (Figure 12(1), Step 608).

Referring to claim 2. Lynch further discloses a commodity retrieval method comprising the steps of:

- Preparing in advance a table indicative of correlation between the objects of use from the table (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41); and

- Retrieving a commodity using the acquired specification as retrieval conditions (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41).

Referring to claim 3. Lynch discloses a commodity retrieval method in a commodity sale transaction via a network, the method comprising the steps of:

- Displaying items for a plurality of objects of use of commodities on a user terminal (Abstract, "To configure a system, the present invention accepts input in the form of requests or needs, such as an expression of a need for a desktop computer system to be used in a CAD environment.");
- Retrieving the commodities based on at least one object of use selected by the terminal (column 5, lines 42-50); and
- Displaying, on the terminal (Figure 11), information on a commodity having specifications corresponding to the selected object of use (Figure 12(1), Step 608).

Referring to claim 5. Lynch further discloses a commodity retrieval method comprising the steps of:

- Preparing in advance a table indicative of correlation between the objects of use and specifications required to attain them (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41);
- Acquiring specifications corresponding to the selected object of use from the table (column 1, lines 24-35);
- Retrieving a commodity for sale using the acquired specification as retrieval conditions (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41).

Referring to claim 6. Claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-2.

Referring to claim 7. Lynch further discloses a commodity retrieval method wherein the accessory commodity connectable to the main unit commodity is one whose operation upon connection to the main unit commodity has already been verified (column 7, lines 36-41)

Referring to claims 8-9. Claims 8-9 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-2.

Referring to claim 10. Lynch further discloses a commodity retrieval method wherein the main unit commodity is a personal computer, and wherein the accessory commodity includes at least one of peripheral devices such as a memory, a scanner, a printer, an auxiliary memory unit and a communication unit (Abstract).

Referring to claim 16. Claim 16 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-2.

Referring to claim 17. Claim 17 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-2.

Referring to claims 19-22. Claims 19-22 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-3 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3625

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 12-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch U.S. Patent No. 5,708,798 in view of Henson U.S. Patent No. 6,167,383.

Referring to claim 4. Lynch discloses a method according to claim 1 as indicated supra. Lynch does not expressly disclose a commodity retrieval method wherein the network is Internet. Henson discloses a commodity retrieval method wherein the network is Internet (Fig. 2). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Lynch to have included the limitations of Henson as discussed above because the capability to self-select system options and then price them was afforded through the presence of an online (Internet Based) configurator (Henson: column 2, lines 5-26).

Referring to claim 11. Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 4.

Referring to claim 12. Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 13. Claim 13 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 14. Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 15. Claim 15 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 18. Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Richek, U.S. Patent No. 5,257,387, October 26, 1993, discloses a computer-implemented method and apparatus for dynamic and automatic configuration of a computer system and circuit boards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew s Gart whose telephone number is 703-305-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM to 5:00PM m-f.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG

March 10, 2004



Jeffrey A. Smith
Primary Examiner